

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
February 13, 2007

1 STUTMAN, TREISTER & GLATT, P.C.
2 FRANK A. MEROLA
(CA State Bar No. 136934)
3 EVE H. KARASIK
(CA State Bar No. 155356)
4 ANDREW M. PARLEN
(CA State Bar No. 230429), Members of
1901 Avenue of the Stars, 12th Floor
5 Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 228-5600
6 Facsimile: (310) 228-5788
7 Email: fmerola@stutman.com
ekarasik@stutman.com
aparlen@stutman.com
8 Counsel for the Official Committee Of
9 Equity Security Holders Of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

SHEA & CARLYON, LTD.
JAMES PATRICK SHEA
(Nevada State Bar No. 000405)
CANDACE C. CARLYON
(Nevada State Bar No. 002666)
SHLOMO S. SHERMAN
(Nevada State Bar No. 009688)
228 South Fourth Street, First Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 471-7432
Facsimile: (702) 471-7435
Email: jshea@sheacarlyon.com
ccarlyon@sheacarlyon.com
ssherman@sheacarlyon.com

10 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 In re:) BK-S-06-10725-LBR
13 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,) Chapter 11
14 Debtor.)

15 In re:) BK-S-06-10726-LBR
16 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,) Chapter 11
17 Debtor.)

18 In re:) BK-S-06-10727-LBR
19 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,) Chapter 11
20 Debtor.)

21 In re:) BK-S-06-10728-LBR
22 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,) Chapter 11
23 Debtor.)

24 In re:) BK-S-06-10729-LBR
25 USA SECURITIES, LLC,) Chapter 11
26 Debtor.)

27 Affects)

28 All Debtors)
 USA Commercial Mortgage Co.)
 USA Securities, LLC)
 USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC)
 USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed)
 USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC)

Date: March 15, 2007
Time: 9:30 a.m.

27 **SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY**
28 **SECURITY HOLDERS OF USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC –**
AMOUNT OF PROOFS OF INTEREST (AFFECTS DEBTOR USA CAPITAL FIRST
TRUST DEED FUND, LLC)

17 This Objection is made pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States
18 Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure
19 ("Bankruptcy Rules"), and Rule 3007 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice (the "Local
20 Rules") and is based upon the points and authorities which follow, Exhibit "1" attached hereto,
21 the "Declaration of Matthew Kvarda (the "Kvarda Declaration") filed in support hereof, the

This Objection applies only to proofs of interest filed by parties who did not also file proofs of claim in the FTDF case. The FTDF Committee is filing two other objections to claims and/or proofs of interest substantially contemporaneously herewith: (1) The "Sixth Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC – Claims Based on Prepetition Equity Security Interests of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC," which is an objection to proofs of claim filed by FTDF Members who did not also file proofs of interest in the FTDF case; and (2) the "Eighth Omnibus Objection Of The Official Committee Of Equity Security Holders Of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC – Proofs Of Claim And Proofs Of Interest Filed By Members Of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC Who Filed Both Proofs Of Claim And Proofs Of Interest" which is an objection to proofs of claim and proofs of interest filed by FTDF Members who filed both proofs of claim and proofs of interest in the FTDF case.

1 pleadings referenced by docket number herein, judicial notice of which is respectfully requested,
2 and any oral argument of counsel to be presented at the time of the hearing on this Objection.

3 Based on the foregoing, the FTDF Committee request that the Court enter an
4 order (i) sustaining the Objection; (ii) disallowing the Subject Interests to the extent they exceed
5 the amount of each respective Party's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date as
6 reflected in the Debtors' books and records and allowing the Subject Interests in the amount of
7 each respective Party's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date per the Debtors' books
8 and records, which amounts are set forth on Exhibit "1" hereto; and (iii) granting such other and
9 further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

10 Respectfully submitted this 13th day of February 13, 2007.

11

12 STUTMAN, TREISTER & GLATT

13

/s/ Andrew M. Parlen

14

ANDREW M. PARLEN, ESQ.

15

Professional Corporation

16

Counsel to the Official Committee of Equity

17

Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed

18

Fund, LLC

19

and

20

SHEA & CARLYON, LTD.

21

CANDACE C. CARLYON, ESQ.

22

SHOLOMO S. SHERMAN, ESQ.

23

228 S. Fourth Street, First Floor

24

Las Vegas, NV 89101

25

Counsel for the Official Committee of Equity

26

Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed

27

Fund, LLC

28

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. General Background

1. On April 13, 2006 (the "Petition Date"), USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM"), USA Securities, LLC ("USA Securities"), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC (the "DTDF"), and the FTDF (collectively with USA Mortgage, USA Securities, USA Realty, and the DTDF, the "Debtors") filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 the Bankruptcy Code.

2. Thereafter, on May 9, 2006, this Court entered its Order Regarding Joint Administration Without Substantive Consolidation [docket no. 184] whereby the Court ordered that the Chapter 11 Cases be jointly administered under the name of USA Commercial Mortgage Company, bankruptcy case number BK-S-06-10725-LBR

3. On May 10, 2006, the Office of the United States Trustee (the "U.S. Trustee") appointed four separate committees in these Chapter 11 Cases, including the FTDF Committee.

4. The FTDF Committee represents the interests of those persons who invested in the FTDF (the "FTDF Members"). FTDF Members hold equity, or membership, interests in the FTDF.

5. On June 15, 2006, each of the Debtors filed their "Summary of Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs" (collectively, the "Schedules") pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 521(a)(1)(B), based upon their books and records as of the Petition Date.

6. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest [Docket No. 1280] setting the deadline of November 13, 2006, to file proofs of claim and proofs of interest in the Chapter 11 Cases.

7. On September 15, 2006, the Court approved the "Stipulated Order re Proofs of Interest" [docket no. 1293], whereby the Debtors, the FTDF Committee, and the DTDF Committee stipulated that (1) the list of members with interests in the FTDF and the DTDF

1 provided by the Debtors to BMC Group, the Court-approved noticing agent, shall be deemed the
 2 list of equity security holders filed pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1007(a)(3) and shall constitute
 3 prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of equity security interests in the FTDF and
 4 DTDF; (2) each holder of such interests shall be given notice of the Debtor in which it has an
 5 interest and, if applicable, whether that interest is alleged to be contingent or disputed; (3) it shall
 6 not be necessary for the holders of such interests to file a proof of interest; and (4) the holders of
 7 such interests shall be deemed to have filed a proof of interest in the amount shown on the lists
 8 provided by the Debtors.

9 8. On or about September 18, 2006, the Debtors mailed proof of claim and
 10 proof of interest forms, together with instructions explaining that (i) if any equity interest holder
 11 (such as a FTDF Member) wished to assert a claim (as opposed to an equity interest) against any
 12 of the Debtors, then that equity holder must file a proof of claim but that proofs of claim should
 13 only be filed in those instances where the claimant believed one of the Debtors owed the
 14 claimant monies for such things as damages, rescission, reimbursement or contribution; and (ii)
 15 if the equity interest holder had no disagreement with the amount indicated on the proof of
 16 interest form included in the mailing, then that equity interest holder need take no action and his
 17 or her proof of interest in the FTDF would be deemed allowed in the amount shown on the proof
 18 of interest form.

19 9. On November 15, 2006, the Debtors filed the "Debtors Third Amended
 20 Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization" (the "Plan"). Thereafter, at a hearing held on
 21 December 20, 2006, the Court orally confirmed the Plan, and on January 8, 2007, the court
 22 entered an order confirming the Plan [docket no. 2376].

23 **B. The Debtors' Businesses**

24 10. As of the Petition Date, USACM was acting as the loan servicer for 115
 25 separate loans (the "Serviced Loans") having a combined outstanding loan balance of
 26 approximately \$960 million. See Debtors' First Amended Disclosure Statement For Debtors'
 27 Third Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization (the "Disclosure Statement") [docket no. 1798] at
 28 24.

1 11. According to the Debtors, there are approximately 3,600 investors (the
 2 "Direct Lenders") whose names appear as a "Lender" in the documents for one or more of the
 3 Serviced Loans. Id. Among the Direct Lenders are the FTDF and the DTDF (collectively, the
 4 "Funds").

5 12. As of July 31, 2006, the FTDF had an interest as a Direct Lender in 47 of
 6 the Serviced Loans, and the aggregate outstanding balance owed to FTDF was \$62,653,825. Id.
 7 at 26. All of the FTDF's loans were originated and are serviced by USACM. Id.

8 13. There are approximately 950 FTDF Members, each of whom own
 9 membership interests in the FTDF. Id. It bears emphasizing that the FTDF, not the FTDF
 10 Members, is a lender on the Serviced Loans, and its investments, not those of the FTDF
 11 Members, are secured by deeds of trust. FTDF Members are only entitled to receive
 12 distributions to the extent that the profits of the FTDF exceed its losses. In other words, FTDF
 13 Members hold equity security interests in the FTDF.

14 14. FTDF offered four classes of membership, Class A, B, C and D. Class A
 15 members agreed to commit their capital contributions for a period of twelve (12) months and
 16 were to receive the "Class A Preferred Return" defined as "nine percent (9%) per annum, or such
 17 other percentage determined by the manager from time to time, in its sole and absolute
 18 discretion, without reinvesting." See Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of
 19 FTDF, dated as of June 1, 2003, ("FTDF Operating Agreement") ¶¶ 1.13, 1.14, & 1.15 [docket
 20 no. 1591]. Class B members agreed to commit their capital contributions for a period of twenty-
 21 four (24) months and were to receive the "Class B Preferred Return" defined as "ten percent
 22 (10%) per annum, or such other percentage determined by the manager from time to time, in its
 23 sole and absolute discretion, without reinvesting." See FTDF Operating Agreement ¶¶ 1.16,
 24 1.17, & 1.18. Class C members agreed to commit their capital contributions for a period of
 25 thirty-six (36) months and were to receive the "Class C Preferred Return" defined as "eleven
 26 percent (11%) per annum, or such other percentage determined by the manager from time to
 27 time, in its sole and absolute discretion, without reinvesting." See FTDF Operating Agreement
 28 ¶¶ 1.19, 1.20, & 1.21. The Class D member of FTDF is USA Realty, and does not receive the

1 returns described above for Class A members, Class B members, or Class C members.

2 15. In the postpetition period, the FTDF has made two interim distributions to
 3 FTDF Members in accordance with the FTDF Operating Agreement, and it is anticipated that a
 4 third interim distribution may be made in the near future.

5 **C. Analysis of Proofs of Interest Filed the FTDF Case.**

6 16. As of the filing of this Objection, seventy-seven proofs of interest had
 7 been filed in the FTDF case. Additionally, by order of the Court dated September 14, 2006
 8 [Docket No. 1279], eight (8) proofs of claim filed against the FTDF estate were reclassified as
 9 proofs of interest.

10 17. The FTDF Committee has analyzed these proofs of interest and has
 11 determined that most of the proofs of interest filed in the FTDF case assert an amount that
 12 corresponds with the amount of the equity interest held in the FTDF as of the Petition Date, as
 13 reflected in the Debtors' books and records, by the each party that filed a proof of interest.
 14 However, some of the proofs of interests filed in the FTDF case, including all nineteen (19) of
 15 the Subject Interests, assert equity interests in the FTDF that do not correspond with the Debtors'
 16 books and records.²

17 18. A detailed listing of the Subject Interests is attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"**
 18 and is incorporated herein by reference. Exhibit "1" lists the following information for each
 19 Subject Interest: (1) the proof of interest number; (2) the name of the Party as listed on each
 20 Subject Interest; (3) the Party's FTDF account identification number; (4) the date each Subject
 21 Interest was signed; (5) the asserted equity interest in the FTDF on each Subject Interest; (6) the
 22 amount of the Party's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date according to the
 23 Debtors' books and records; and (7) the FTDF Committee's proposed disposition of each Subject

25
 26 2 As noted above, only proofs of interest filed by FTDF Members who did not also file proofs of claim against
 27 the FTDF estate are subject to this Objection. To the extent that they are objectionable, proofs of interest filed
 28 by FTDF Members who did also file proofs of claim against the FTDF are subject to the "Eighth Omnibus
 Objection Of The Official Committee Of Equity Security Holders Of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
 – Proofs Of Claim And Proofs Of Interest Filed By Members Of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC Who
 Filed Both Proofs Of Claim And Proofs Of Interest" that is being filed by the FTDF Committee substantially
 contemporaneously herewith.

1 Interest. For the convenience of the Court and the Parties, a summary of the various objections
 2 to the Subject Interests follows:

3 a. Robert E. Heller (proof of interest no. 17), Ervin J. Nelson (proof
 4 of interest no. 68), Rosalie Allen Morgan (proof of interest no. 73), Louie and Charlotte Polanco
 5 (claim no. 19, which has been reclassified as a proof of interest), and Heinrich Richard Weber
 6 and Brigitte S. Weber (claim no. 26, which has been reclassified as a proof of interest), have
 7 filed Subject Interests that assert amounts in addition to each respective Party's equity interest in
 8 the FTDF on account of postpetition dividends that each such Party expected to, but did not,
 9 receive from the FTDF. Because FTDF Members are only entitled to be paid dividends to the
 10 extent the profits of the FTDF exceed its losses in accordance with the FTDF Operating
 11 Agreement and because all postpetition distributions to FTDF Members have been made
 12 pursuant to the FTDF Operating Agreement, no FTDF Member is entitled to assert a claim
 13 against the FTDF for or interest in the FTDF on account of postpetition dividends that it
 14 expected to, but did not, receive.

15 b. Clinton Dan Bowman (proof of interest no. 12), Mayretta J. Wall-
 16 Bowman (proof of interest no. 21), Ernest Libman (proof of interest no. 47), and William E.
 17 Hansen (proof of interest no. 61) filed Subject Interests asserting that their respective equity
 18 interest in the FTDF is the "book value" set forth on the Parties' investor statements³ as opposed
 19 to the amount of each respective Party's equity interest in the FTDF as of Petition Date. Because
 20 the "book value" amounts provided on a particular investor statement simply reflect an estimate
 21 of the FTDF's net assets on a given day divided by the number of shares outstanding, "book
 22 value" as listed on investor statements is not a proper measure of an FTDF Member's equity
 23 interest in the FTDF. Rather, as set forth above, the appropriate measure of an FTDF Member's
 24 equity interest in the FTDF is the amount reflected on the Debtors' books and records as of the
 25 Petition Date. Accordingly, any portion of the Subject Interests asserted solely on account of
 26 "book value" of FTDF Members' shares in the FTDF should be disallowed.

27
 28 ³ The "book value" amounts provided on a particular investor statement simply reflect an estimate of the FTDF's
 assets (net of liabilities) on a given day divided by the number of shares outstanding."

1 c. Sherry Dean Bullock (proof of interest no. 72), Joann L. McQuerry
2 (proof of interest no. 74), and Loughlin Family Trust (proof of interest no. 75) filed Subject
3 Interests that, in whole or in part, assert equity interests in the FTDF on account of investments
4 unrelated to the FTDF. Specifically, \$3,381.80 of the amount asserted Sherry Dean Bullock
5 relates to cash holdings in Ms. Bullock's IRAT account, all but \$25,000.00 of the amount
6 asserted by Joann L. McQuerry relates to investments in deeds of trust made through USACM,
7 and the Loughlin Family Trust's entire proof of interest relates to "Fractionalized Notes and
8 Deeds of Trust" in which the Loughlin Family Trust invested through USACM. To the extent
9 any portion of the amounts asserted in these Subject Interests related to investments other than
10 the purchase of shares in the FTDF, such as investments in deeds of trust through USACM, they
11 should be disallowed.

12 d. Allan Ebbin (proof of interest no. 70), Frieda Moon FBO Sharon
13 C. Van Ert (claim nos. 6 and 7, which have been reclassified as proofs of interest), and Rocco J.
14 Rocco (claim no. 25, which has been reclassified as a proof of interest) filed Subject Interests
15 that do not provide an explanation for why they assert amounts greater than that reflected in the
16 Debtors' books and records as the respective Parties' equity interests in the FTDF as of the
17 Petition Date. Because these Subject Interests allege insufficient facts to support their assertions,
18 they should be disallowed in part as set forth on Exhibit "1."

19 19. Finally, three (3) of the Subject Interests are duplicates of previously filed
20 proofs of interest and, therefore, should be disallowed in their entirety. The duplicative Subject
21 Interests are those filed by Robert E. Heller (proof of interest no. 20), William D. Carter (proof
22 of interest no. 62), and Joseph Grgurich (claim no. 20, which has been reclassified as a proof of
23 interest).

24 20. In summary, the FTDF has no liability for any of the Subject Interests to
25 the extent the amounts asserted by each Subject Interest exceed the amount of the filing Party's
26 equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date as reflected in the Debtors' books and records.
27 Accordingly, the Subject Interests should be disallowed in whole or in part as set forth on
28 Exhibit "1."

II. ARGUMENT

A. Interests Should Be Allowed Only in the Amounts Set Forth in the Debtors' Books and Records.

21. Bankruptcy Code section 502 authorizes a party in interest to object to proofs of interest. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Upon such objection, this Court, "after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition . . ." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). Although a proper proof of claim or interest is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), once an objection controverts the presumption, the claim or holder of the claim or interest has the ultimate burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim or interest. Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), affd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting In re Allegheny International, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)).

22. The Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit explained the shifting burdens of proof with respect to objections to proofs of claim as follows:

The burden of proof for claims brought in the bankruptcy court under 11 U.S.C.A. § 502(a) rests on different parties at different times. Initially, the claimant must allege facts sufficient to support the claim. If the averments in his filed claim meet this standard of sufficiency, it is "prima facie" valid. In other words, a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support a legal liability to the claimant satisfies the claimant's initial obligation to go forward. . . . The burden of persuasion is always on the claimant.

Consolidated Pioneer Mortg., 178 B.R. at 222, affd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting In re Allegheny International, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992) (citations omitted)) (emphasis added). Following this decision, the District Court for the Northern District of California emphasized, "unless the claimant has alleged 'facts sufficient to support a legal liability,' the claim is not *prima facie* valid." In re Hongnisto, 293 B.R. 45, 50 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (quoting Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.), 178 B.R. at 226) (holding that the claimant's proof of claim failed to allege sufficient facts to support a legal liability and consequently disallowed the proof of claim). See also Consolidated Pioneer Mortg., 178 B.R. at 227 (holding that because the proof of claim did not allege sufficient facts to support the claim, the proof of claim was disallowed).

1 As section 502(a) refers to both claims and interests, the burden shifting analysis described
 2 above applies to proofs of interest as well as to proofs of claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(a) ("A
 3 claim or interest, proof of which is filed under section 501 of this title, is deemed allowed, unless
 4 a party in interest... objects.").

5 23. The FTDF Committee has analyzed the Subject Interests and has
 6 determined that they assert equity interests in the FTDF in amounts greater than that reflected in
 7 the Debtors' books and records as of the Petition Date, and, for the reasons set forth in section
 8 II.B of this Objection, requests that the Subject Interests be disallowed in whole or in part as
 9 specified on Exhibit "1" hereto.

10 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 B. A Subject Interest Should Be Disallowed to the Extent It Exceeds the Amount of a
 11 Particular Party's Interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date as Reflected in the
 12 Debtors' Books and Records.

13 24. As detailed in paragraphs 18(a)-(d) supra, the Parties who filed the Subject
 14 Interests assert claims in excess of the amount of their equity interests in the FTDF as of the
 15 Petition Date according to the Debtors' books and records. Parties who have done so fall into
 16 one of four groups: (1) those that have filed proofs of interest that assert entitlement to
 17 anticipated but unpaid postpetition dividends; (2) those that assert "book value" as the proper
 18 measure of an FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF; (3) those that assert equity interests
 19 in the FTDF on account of investments in deeds of trust made through USACM; and (4) those
 20 that assert equity interests in excess of that reflected in the Debtors books and records as of the
 21 Petition Date, yet do not provide a basis for this excess amount. For the reasons set forth below,
 22 none of these grounds provides a basis for FTDF Members to assert an equity interest in (or a
 23 claim against) the FTDF.

24 1. 25 26 27 28 1. **FTDF Members Do Not Have Valid Interests In or Claims Against the FTDF
 25 for Anticipated but Unpaid Postpetition Dividends.**

26 25. As set forth in paragraph 18(a), some Parties have filed proofs of interest
 27 that assert interests in and/or claims against the FTDF on account dividends that they expected to
 28 receive in the postpetition period. To the extent that Parties assert amounts for anticipated but
 unpaid postpetition dividends in addition to their interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date, the

1 Subject Interests should be disallowed. FTDF Members have no right to receive postpetition
 2 dividends from the FTDF except as set forth in the FTDF Operating Agreement. All postpetition
 3 distributions authorized by the FTDF Operating Agreement to FTDF Members have been made,
 4 with each FTDF Member receiving the same percentage return as the other FTDF Members in
 5 the respective FTDF Member's class. While FTDF Members may have expected a certain
 6 monthly distribution based on the FTDF's historical prepetition performance, FTDF Members
 7 have no right to such distributions unless the FTDF's profits are high enough to produce the
 8 anticipated dividend. During the FTDF's bankruptcy, the FTDF has had to hold back funds in
 9 order to reserve for the FTDF's administrative expenses and certain unsecured claims filed
 10 against the FTDF, which holdbacks have resulted in reduced profits as compared to the
 11 prepetition period. Accordingly, all authorized unpaid postpetition dividends have been paid to
 12 FTDF Members.

13 **2. "Book Value" Is Not a Proper Measure of an FTDF Member's Interest in the**
 14 **FTDF.**

15 26. Certain Parties, as detailed supra in paragraph 18(b), have filed Subject
 16 Interests in amounts described as "book value" on investor statements provided to FTDF
 17 Members by the FTDF. Because "book value" as listed on investor statements is not the proper
 18 measure of an FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF, these Subject Interests should be
 19 disallowed to the extent they exceed the amount of particular Party's equity interest in the FTDF
 20 as of the Petition Date.

21 27. An FTDF Member's equity interest is the amount that the FTDF Member
 22 has invested in the FTDF, either through the purchase of shares or the reinvestment of dividends.
 23 In contrast, the "book value" listed on an FTDF Member's investor statement simply represents
 24 an estimate of the FTDF's net assets on a given day divided by the number of shares outstanding.
 25 Because it is an estimate that constantly changes, "book value" should not be the benchmark
 26 used to determine each FTDF Member's interest in the FTDF. In contrast, the amount of each
 27 FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date is a value that is a fixed
 28 amount that is readily ascertainable from the Debtors' books and records. Therefore, where any
 Subject Interest asserts an amount in excess of a Party's equity interest in the FTDF as of the

1 Petition Date on account of the "book value" listed on an investor statement, the Subject Interest
 2 should be disallowed to the extent it exceeds such amount.

3 **3. Investments Aside from the Purchase of Shares in the FTDF are Not
 4 Grounds for Asserting Equity Interests in the FTDF.**

5 28. Certain Parties, identified in paragraph 18(c), have filed Subject Claims
 6 that assert equity interests in whole or in part on account of investments other than the purchase
 7 of shares in the FTDF, including investments deeds of trust through USACM. Because investing
 8 in deeds of trust and lending money to borrowers through USACM is wholly distinct from
 9 purchasing shares in the FTDF and thereby acquiring an equity interest in the FTDF, such Parties
 10 cannot assert equity interests in the FTDF on account of such investments. Accordingly, the
 11 Subject Interests that rely on investments made through USACM as the basis for asserting equity
 12 interests in the FTDF should be disallowed in whole or in part as set forth on Exhibit "1" hereto.

13 **4. Subject Interests that Fail to Substantiate the Basis for Asserted Equity
 14 Interests in the FTDF Should Be Disallowed to the Extent They Assert
 15 Amounts in Excess of the Equity Interests of FTDF Members as of the
 16 Petition Date as Reflected in the Debtors' Books and Records.**

17 29. The Subject Interests identified in paragraph 18(d) supra assert equity
 18 interests in the FTDF in excess of the amount of a particular Party's equity interest in the FTDF
 19 as of the Petition Date as reflected in the Debtors' books and records yet do not provide a basis
 20 for asserting such excess amount. As set forth in paragraphs 22 and 23 supra, a party filing a
 21 proof of interest bears must allege sufficient facts to support its alleged interest. These Subject
 22 Interests do not provide any supporting documentation that provides a basis for asserting an
 23 equity interest in the FTDF that exceeds that reflected in the Debtors' books and records. As
 24 such, these Subject Interests should be disallowed in part as set forth on Exhibit "1" hereto.

25 **C. Duplicative Interests Should Be Disallowed.**

26 30. Finally, as set forth in paragraph 19, some of the Subject Interests are
 27 duplicative of other proofs of interest filed in the FTDF case and, accordingly, should be
 28 disallowed. Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the bankruptcy court shall
 not allow a claim that "is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any
 agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or

1 unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Section 502 therefore precludes the allowance of
 2 duplicative proofs of interest because applicable law plainly prevents a claimant from recovering
 3 twice on a single interest. See Fine Organics Corp. v. Hexcel Corp. (In re Hexcel Corp.), 174
 4 B.R. 807, 811 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 1994) (noting the bankruptcy policy "intended to protect the
 5 limited assets of the estate from duplicative claims"); In re Finley, Kumble, Wagner, Heine,
 6 Underberg, Manley, Myerson & Casey, 160 B.R. 882, 894 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1993) ("to allow
 7 one creditor to assert two dollars in claims for every one dollar of loss from the same debtor
 8 violates principles of ratable distribution and offends notions of uniform treatment for creditors")
 9 (quotations omitted). Therefore, all duplicative Subject Interests should be disallowed.

10 III. NOTICE

11 31. This Objection⁴ will be served⁵ on (i) the parties who filed the Subject
 12 Interests at the addresses set forth on the parties' respective proofs of interest, (ii) all parties on
 13 Master Service List for Limited Notice #6 Dated January 12, 2007 and (iii) all parties who have
 14 filed requests for special notice since the filing of such master service list. In light of the nature
 15 of the relief requested, the FTDF Committee submits that no other or further notice need be
 16 given.

17 IV. CONCLUSION

18 32. For the reasons set forth herein, the FTDF Committee respectfully
 19 requests that the Court enter an order (i) sustaining the Objection; (ii) disallowing the Subject
 20 Interests to the extent they exceed the amount of each respective Party's equity interest in the
 21 FTDF as of the Petition Date as reflected in the Debtors' books and records and allowing the
 22 Subject Interests in the amount of each respective Party's equity interest in the FTDF as of the
 23 Petition Date per the Debtors' books and records, which amounts are set forth on Exhibit "1"
 24 hereto; and (iii) granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

25
 26
 27 ⁴ Given the voluminous nature of the Subject Interests and the attachments thereto and in light of the facts that
 28 the Court has access to proofs of interest filed in the FTDF case and the filing parties already have copies or are
 aware of their own respective Subject Interests, the FTDF Committee is not filing or serving copies of the
 Subject Interests along with this Objection. Copies of the Subject Interests will be provided upon request to the
 FTDF Committee's counsel.

29 ⁵ By ECF or U.S. Mail, as appropriate.

1
2 Respectfully submitted this 13th day of February 13, 2007.
3
4

5 STUTMAN, TREISTER & GLATT
6
7

8 /s/ Andrew M. Parlen
9

10 ANDREW M. PARLEN, ESQ.
11 Professional Corporation
12 Counsel to the Official Committee of Equity
13 Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed
14 Fund, LLC
15
16

17 and
18

19 SHEA & CARLYON, LTD.
20 CANDACE C. CARLYON, ESQ.
21 SHOLOMO S. SHERMAN, ESQ.
22 228 S. Fourth Street, First Floor
23 Las Vegas, NV 89101
24 Counsel for the Official Committee of Equity
25 Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed
26 Fund, LLC
27
28

EXHIBIT "1"

Proofs of Interest Subject to Objection

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
12	Clinton Dan Bowman	9649	9/28/06	\$341,489.42	\$334,551.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$334,551.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$334,551.00 reflects book value as opposed to the amount of the FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date.
17	Robert E. Heller	10553	10/30/06	\$20,732.97	\$20,000.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$20,000.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$20,000.00 is on account of anticipated but unpaid postpetition dividends.
20	Robert E. Heller	10553	10/30/06	\$20,732.97	\$20,000.00	Disallow proof of interest as duplicative of proof of interest no. 17.

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
27	Maryetta J. Wall-Bowman	9853	10/12/06	\$37,409.54	\$35,970.50	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$35,970.50. The amount asserted in excess of \$35,970.50 reflects book value as opposed to the amount of the FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date.
47	Ernest Libman	10738	11/7/06	\$16,327.58	\$15,699.50	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$15,699.50. The amount asserted in excess of \$15,699.50 reflects book value as opposed to the amount of the FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date.

* While filed as a proof of claim against the FTDF, the document has been reclassified as a proof of interest pursuant to the "Order Sustaining Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC to Claims Based on Prepetition Equity Security Holders" entered by the Bankruptcy Court on September 14, 2006 [Docket No. 1278].

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
61	William E. Hansen	3162	11/6/06	\$52,018.28	\$50,000.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$50,000.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$50,000.00 reflects book value as opposed to the amount of the FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date.
62	William D. Carter	4784	11/5/06	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	Disallow proof of interest as duplicative of proof of interest no. 48. Proof of interest no. 48 is not subject to this objection.
68	Ervin J. Nelson	4053		\$104,950.00	\$103,277.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$103,277.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$103,277.00 is on account of anticipated but unpaid postpetition dividends.

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
70	Allan Ebbin	8316		\$110,824.62	\$106,561.50	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$106,561.50. The filed proof of interest asserts no basis for the amount in excess of \$106,561.50.
72	Sherry Dean Bullock	9053	11/10/06	\$45,457.80	\$42,070.50	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$42,070.50. The amount asserted in excess of \$42,070.50 is on account of an investment unrelated to the USA Capital bankruptcy cases.
73	Rosalie Allen Morgan	8238	11/13/06	\$105,342.00 plus interest	\$105,342.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$105,342.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$105,342.00 is on account of anticipated but unpaid postpetition dividends.

* While filed as a proof of claim against the FTDF, the document has been reclassified as a proof of interest pursuant to the "Order Sustaining Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC to Claims Based on Prepetition Equity Security Holders" entered by the Bankruptcy Court on September 14, 2006 [Docket No. 1278].

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
74	Joann L McQuerry	5627	12/4/06	\$655,584.00 plus interest	\$25,000.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$25,000.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$25,000.00 are on account of investments made in deeds of trust through USA Commercial Mortgage Company.
75	Loughlin Family Trust	N/A	12/4/06	\$1,055,000.00	\$0.00	Disallow proof of interest in full because Loughlin Family Trust is not a member of the FTDF. The amount asserted by the proof of interest is on account of investments made in deeds of trust through USA Commercial Mortgage Company.

* While filed as a proof of claim against the FTDF, the document has been reclassified as a proof of interest pursuant to the "Order Sustaining Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC to Claims Based on Prepetition Equity Security Holders" entered by the Bankruptcy Court on September 14, 2006 [Docket No. 1278].

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
FTDF Claim no. 6*	Frieda Moon FBO Sharon C. Van Ert	4153	May 23, 2006	\$35,583.34	\$35,000.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$35,000.00. The filed proof of interest asserts no basis for the amount in excess of \$35,000.00.
FTDF Claim no. 7*	Frieda Moon FBO Sharon C. Van Ert	1538	May 23, 2006	\$17,538.18	\$17,279.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$17,279.00. The filed proof of interest asserts no basis for the amount in excess of \$17,279.00.
FTDF Claim no. 19*	Louie and Charlotte Polanco	471	June 23, 2006	\$13,110.26	\$12,214.50	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$12,214.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$12,214.50 is on account of anticipated but unpaid postpetition dividends.
FTDF Claim no. 20*	Joseph Grgurich	9690	June 23, 2006	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	Disallow proof of interest as duplicative of proof of interest that was formerly FTDF claim no. 2.

* While filed as a proof of claim against the FTDF, the document has been reclassified as a proof of interest pursuant to the "Order Sustaining Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC to Claims Based on Prepetition Equity Security Holders" entered by the Bankruptcy Court on September 14, 2006 [Docket No. 1278].

Proof of Interest No.	Equity Interest Holder	Account ID #	Date Proof of Interest	Asserted Equity Interest Amount	Amount of Equity Interest Per Debtors' Records	Proposed Disposition
FTDF Claim no. 25*	Rocco J. Rocco	6016	July 7, 2006	\$10,132.00	\$10,000.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$10,00.00. The filed proof of interest asserts no basis for the amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
FTDF Claim no. 26*	Heinrich Richard Weber and Brigitte S. Weber	9771	July 21, 2006	\$30,275.00	\$30,000.00	Disallow proof of interest to the extent it exceeds \$30,00.00. The amount asserted in excess of \$30,000.00 is on account of anticipated but unpaid postpetition dividends.

* While filed as a proof of claim against the FTDF, the document has been reclassified as a proof of interest pursuant to the "Order Sustaining Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC to Claims Based on Prepetition Equity Security Holders" entered by the Bankruptcy Court on September 14, 2006 [Docket No. 1278].